G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 466-11

1912.

(Miscellaneous)

Serial Nos. 1–6

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–6.

Part B for August 1919

SUBJECT.

Adhyaprabha post of Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote.

No 663 d. 21.8.1919

No 664 d. 21.8.1919

To. The Dy. Commissioner

Rejects the claim of Mr. Alasinga

to _____

Copy to the Asst. Commr.

Part 2 after Decision 28.10.1919

W. P. 1250—(P. B.—30 1000) 28.1.19

11] 1912

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mulgrai)

NOTES.

File No. 446-

FILE HEADING.

Adhyapaka Post of Sri Narayanaswamy Temple at Melkote

From

The Dy Commr, Mysore Distt

No. 200 Dated 18-11-1911 Received 20-11-11

Diary No. 816	Enclos. 1 & Spare Copies. -	Serial No. -/

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that he is unable to pass any Order regarding the said post, as the Varavara has been ordered to be made out in the name of Varadachariar Son of Sampathkumarachar

NOTES AND ORDERS.

mg.2. 229-1910

Mr. M.C. Alasingrachar, Advocate, presented a review petition praying for a re-consideration of the Govt order rejecting the petrs. right to undue uliga post of Sri Narayanaswamy Temple at melkote and advancing his claim

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12 8 11

Revenue riat.

File No. 446 of 19 11

Serial No.	
	to Adhyapaka post of the said temple under cr's order, his review so far as it related to mudue uliga post was
X	rejected, and as regards Adhyapaka he was directed to obtain an order from the Dy Commr and appeal to Govt should that be unfavorable. A copy of this Govt was forwarded to the Dy Commr
	The Dy Commr reports that he is unable to pass any order regarding the Adhyapaka post claimed by the petr, as the Sarawad has been ordered by Govt to be made out in the
	name of Narasimhachar Son of Sampathkumarachar, and that the petr who appeared before him on the 13th inst was informed that a reference would be made to Govt in the matter. The Dy Commr reports that Govt may be pleased to pass early orders regarding the post.
	Submitted for orders 17/4/12
	AVC 13/4 17.2.12
2	From, M.C. Alasingachar, Advocate. Petition dy 22.2.1912 Prays that he may be heard

(Rubkari no 4639 dy 29th may 1905) W

Note—Contn sheet

(3

Revenue tariat. | File No. 446 of 1911 | 12/12

Serial No.

before orders are passed in the case Submitted for orders. This seems to be a matter AW(132) 28/2/12 for decision after personal hearing

Please submit ~~a week or ten days hence~~ - about end of this month. hm ~~29/2/12~~ 11/3/12

Date may if approved be fixed for 16th inst. RL 28.2.12.

Submitted AW 11.3.12 RL

Submitted RL 14/3/12

Please submit with other cases which have to be posted for hearing — about end of this month.

hm 6/5/12

Submitted for orders AW (132) 7.6.12 RL

(1 b 2a)

The papers are in complete. Where is the file relating to the original appeal. I remember that another Review petition has been disposed of against the applicant since the date of this appl. that file should also be put up.

hm 9.6.12

Muz. F. No 124 of 1907 containing the papers of the orgl appeal is put up. Muz. F. No. 486-12 relating to the Review

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Revenue
tariat.

File No. 446 of 1911

Serial No.

petition of Alamiyaachar re the Mudre Veliya post of melkote temple is put up. The Dy. Commr has been asked to re-transmit the Vern. records in the case.

Submitted
DV (132) Ely
18.6.1913

Off notices to the 14th Instant

Submd to 1st Councillor.

The ~~rejected~~ adhiya pakka of Baravard was in the name of Kumara Singachar who was also Baravadar of Mudre Veliya Port. In 1863 Singachar transferred the Baravard of both the ports to his brother inlaw Samgal. Kumaraiah who in 1888 entered into a kaul that he was holding these offices for the former and that he would transfer the same in favour of any nominee of the former. In 1897 Samgal Kumaraiah was suspended for his subordination in connection with the Mudre Veliya Port and one Lakshmi Matachar a ~~Samgal~~ grandson of Singachar was appointed in his stead and is still continuing. (The present appellant is also working in respect of this

—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000-12 8 10

File No. 446 of 19 11

Serial No.

for a review after Govt. order dismissing his appeal. This case is however not yet ripe for hearing).

The present case concerns only the Abhayapala post. Sampal Kumara that continued in this office and was employed at the revision after establishment in 1900. There is nothing to show that the Karaya 1888 was brought to the notice after officers at the time or how Sampal Kumara came into the possession of the office. Sampal Kumara died in July 1900 and his son Thiruvarangan was appointed registered in the office, the duties being performed by his uncle Kirimenja. Thiruvarangan died in 1903 and his brother Naresinhacha was appointed as Basnayaka.

In abt 1907 Saiyahar the original Basnayaka and father of the appellant who was also the Gramani after petitioned Mr Pelletier that this and other offices for which he had hereditary title may be registered in the name of Mr Clarence Perera (appellant) and work taken from him. This application and the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,00 1-12 8 10

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 441 of 191

Serial No.

Subsequent petitions of ~~the~~ ~~applicants~~ ~~in~~ claiming which have not been finally disposed of.

The original claim of Sripathi Iyer is not disputed. The Karar of Samuel Kumar ~~which~~ makes it clear that he was only a guarantee on behalf of Sripathi Iyer and so long as ~~the latter~~ he lived, his enjoyment of the Mir cannot be said to have been adverse. The case is different as regards the possession of the Mir of the sons of Samuel Kumar. ~~who~~ who had no privity or understanding with Sripathi Iyer. But there have been enjoyment of the Mir only for seven years when a claim for its possession was preferred by Sripathi Iyer. The claim cannot be said to be barred by Limitation.

Ag
13.8.13

Is there anything to show that Appt's father was recognised as permanent holder after 1863? This may be traced. If so there is

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-(-12.

and Revenue etariat.

File No. 446 of 1911

Serial No.

Nothing to distinguish this claim from that of Mudre-Uhya, the decision shd be the same in both cases.

14/8/13

Asking rather undertk to produce copies of documents or to furnish information about them. But he has not turned up yet. Will write to D. and know the results after records searched and furnish the information required

he 24 8.13

Sd Cmpd. with a Dft.

AVC(15) 25-9 1913.

affd he 27-9-13

3 To The Dy. Comm. Mysore Dt No 1061 Dy 2.10.1913 Requesting him to report if there are any records supporting the claim of Srigiachar to the permanent Varaward of the Hobyabaka post.

Yn

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL—65,000—17-C-12.

Serial No.

Draft an order dismissing the case in the hierarchy the Mudaliyar case

26.5.19

AV 27/5/19

27.5.19

Submitted with a D/F under.

9/7/19 10.7.19

The members order of 16.8.18 makes it clear that there is nothing to distinguish this claim from that of Mudaliyar. That is so and from the discussions in that file it is clear that Kumarihamy's relinquishment after she was absent. The execution of a Kara 25 years late is immaterial, it is executed after this fact and the Kara can not be interpreted only as an undertaking to relinquish for the future. In this circumstance the Govt. as necessary parties and their order on the subject should be considered as final so far as Kumarihamy and her representatives are concerned

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-6-12.

Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 111 of 191

Serial No.

Are concerned

In my note under date 13-8-13 I interpreted the Karar as an evidence of the relationship between Brij Lala and Sampat Kumar dar as principal and agent but the fact that there was absolutely no mention in the temple records of the continued interest in the affairs in question of Brijlala appears to lend greater probability to the theory of absolute relinquishment of the affairs in the part of Brijlala.

A draft rejecting the claim is put up for approval or other orders. As this is the first time that the case comes up for decision in Council, it may perhaps be desirable to give the party the benefit of a hearing. In that case the connected case about Mudmeliya may also be heard

3rd Member) 22.7.13

367/22.7.13

Draft ready

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPP—65,000—17-6-12.

DIARY No 816

ID 11- 12
SECTION Miscl
FILE No. 446-11
SERIAL 1

From

M. Kantaraj Urs Esq., B.A
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A
Offg. *Secy. to Govt. of Mysore,*
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched 18th November 1911

Received

General No. 200
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits herewith the file of papers regarding Acharyapura-Shetru as Adyapaka posts of the Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote, claimed by Mr. M. C. Alasinga Char, Advocate Mysore.

Continue note
BL
20/11/11
Reply sent
15.2.12

No. 2100
Enc. No. 1file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 18th November 1911

FROM

M. Kantaraj Urs Esq., B.A
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT.

To

C.S Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A
Offg. SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Muzrai Branch

SIR,

Adverting to your memo No 1674 dated 23rd December 1910 forwarding copy of endorsement granted to Mr. M.C. Alasingra Char, Advocate, Chief Court of Mysore, I have the honor to submit herewith the file of papers ending with the Sub divisional Officer's yadast No. 161/505 dated 18/19 1st January 1911 and to state that I am unable to pass any order regarding the Adhyapaka post claimed by the petitioner, Mr. Alasingra Char, as the bar award has been ordered by Government to be made out in the name of Narasimha

Char

-Son of Sampathkumara Chetty (Vide 13

Mysore Secretary's Rubakari No. 4439

dated 29th May 1905).

2. Mr. Alasingra Chari who appeared before me on 13th November 1911 was informed that a reference would be made to Government in the matter.

3. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to pass early orders regarding the bar award of the Adhyapaka post.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

S Rahat

For Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 1313

Mus. 7. 446–11–2



pasted on this form.

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc.; not intended for permanent record.]

---

mvr Nils
40- 9th June 1913

M. G. Alasingaracharar
Advocate
wants his application regarding
Mudre Vilaga & Adhyapaka posts
in Sri Narayanaswami Temple
at Melkote to be disposed of as
early as possible, so that the long
standing misunderstanding between
the members of his family and those
of his nephew Lakmitatachar
may be set at rest. His nephew
got the first appointment by
perjury & caused the 2d to be retained
by this mode. 7th June 1913
Mallesvaram

Are any papers ending about
these pleas? Please send me
mvr 9 see early. 7/6/13

mvr 2 no 446–11 is submitted
This is one of the cases to
be posted for hearing by
Councillor
Submitted
MM
9.6.13

Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

B—25,000—23 7 12

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To
The D C: mysore Dist

Dated 2-10-1911

Despatched

Received

General No. 1061
Inward No. 1166 1-2-3
Enclosure No. 1/2

Adverting to his letter
No. 200 Dt 18th November 1911
submitting the records relating
to the Adhyapaka post of
Sri Narayanaswamy temple
at Melkote, I am directed
~~has the honor~~
to state ~~from the records~~
~~to observe~~ that in 1863,
~~Singlachar, father~~ of the
apl^t Mr M.C. Alasingrachar
~~transferred~~ the varamard
of the Adhyapaka post in
question to his brother-in-law
Sampathkumarachar, and

the reason of his
(illegible) made over
right to

(that the appellant urges
that his father Singlachar
died intestate, in
March 1

(PB—10,000—9-1-06.

~~to reject~~ that the record of the temple ~~may be sent~~ will support me

Searched and ~~inform~~ furnished. I am to request you will be so good as to have the records of the temple searched as to whether there is anything ~~to show that~~ Singlachar was recognized as permanent holder of the office after 1863 any information bearing upon this point. as to whether

(2) The favor of ~~an early~~ early reply is requested.

(3) The records are herewith returned for ref and re-return

By order
&c
RSR.
for Secretary
RL
25/7/03

DFH

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT Rejects the petition claiming the Mayorship of Kolar

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No. 446-11

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

, *the* 191

Date of issue.... 21.8.1911

Signed by..... B. Ramachandraiya

Read.— Memo No 1674/Misc. 299-11 dy 23-12-1910 to the Dy. Comm: Mysore Dist:, enclosing a copy of endorsement to Mr M.C. Narasimhachar, Advocate, enquiring the fee that he should first obtain an order from the Dy. Comm:

Order Thereon:— No.

in the matter of Adhyapaka post of Sri Narayana Swami temple at Mackote claimed by him—

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

over.

Letter No 200 Dt 18-11-1911

from the Dy. Commr, Mysore

Dist, reporting that he is

unable to pass any orders

regarding the Adhyapaka

post, as it has been registered

under Court orders in the

name of Narasimhachar,

son of Sampathkumarachar.

Petition dt 7-6-13 from Mr. M.C. Narasingachar, Advocate

claiming the Adhyapaka po

in question.

663/mar 446-11-4

Dt 21-8-1913

Order thereon - No.

The Adhyapaka Baramahal

in question was in the name

of Komara Singlachar -

father of the petr Mr M.C. Narasingachar. List of enclosures.

In 1863, he resigned it in favor

of his brother in-law Sampath-

kumarachar - It is reported

that the name of Kumar

Singlachar does not appear Copied by

in the Temple baramahal Examined by

from July 1863; and that

S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

since then, Sampathkumaraiah discharged the Adhyapaka duties till his death in July 1900, after which, his son Srinarasiengar was registered in the office. Srinarasiengar's death died in 1905, and his brother Narasimhachar was appointed as karavarddar. Under the circumstances, I see no reason to interfere with this ~~petition~~ of Srinivasa, or behalf of his minor Narasimhachar. His petition is accordingly rejected.

Secretary, Rev. Dept. 10/2/13

To,

The Dy. Commr. Mysore

Dist.

Copy to Mr. M. Narasimha Advocate,

Consider that any right which Kumara Singa had then has long been extinguished and they see no reason to revise the appointment of Narasimhachar which, in view of the petition is accordingly rejected

Sir, 10.2.13

GPB—12,000—15-2-13